#### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,	)	
Complainant,	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	PCB No. 14-13
BINGHAM COMMERCIAL CONSTRUCTION,	)	(Enforcement - Water)
INC., an Illinois corporation,	)	
Respondent.	j	

#### **NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

TO: See attached service list

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board by electronic filing the following Stipulation and Proposal For Settlement, a copy of which is attached and hereby served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois

By:

ROBERT W. PETTI
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
Ulinois Attorney General's (

Illinois Attorney General's Office 69 W. Washington St., 18th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 814-2069

Date: August 21, 2014

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

# Service List

John Therriault Assistant Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601 (by electronic filing)

Steven J Bingham
Bingham Commercial Construction, Inc.
400 Cherry Ave.
Rochelle, IL 61068
(by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested)

#### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,	)
Complainant,	)
v.	PCB No. 14-13 (Enforcement - Water)
BINGHAM COMMERCIAL	) ` `
CONSTRUCTION, Inc., an Illinois corporation,	)
Respondent.	}

#### STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and Bingham Commercial Construction, Inc., an Illinois corporation ("Respondent"), (collectively "Parties to the Stipulation"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. This stipulation of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and as a factual basis for the Board's approval of this Stipulation and issuance of relief. None of the facts stipulated herein shall be introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the violations of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1, et seq. (2012), and the Board's regulations, alleged in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. It is the intent of the Parties to the Stipulation that it be a final adjudication of this matter.

# I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

#### A. Parties

1. On July 30, 2013, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31 (2012), against

the Respondent.

2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2012).

- 3. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Illinois corporation that is authorized to transact business in the State of Illinois.
- 4. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent owned and operated a commercial construction business.
- 5. From on or about April 20, 2012 through April 25, 2012, Respondent performed maintenance and cleaning services at a warehouse owned by the Respondent located at 238 North Main Street, Rochelle, Ogle County, Illinois (the "Site").

# **B.** Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I: Water Pollution, in violation of Section 12(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) (2012);

Count II: Interference with the Operation of a Publically Owned Treatment Works, in violation of Section 12(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) (2012), and Section 307.1101 of the Board Water Pollution Regulations, 35 111. Adm. Code 307.1101.

Count III: Discharge into a sewage works without a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit, in violation of Section 12(f) of the Act, 415ILCS 5/12(f) (2012);

#### C. Non-Admission of Violations

The Respondent represents that it has entered into this Stipulation for the purpose of settling and compromising disputed claims without having to incur the expense of contested litigation. By entering into this Stipulation and complying with its terms, the Respondent does not affirmatively admit the allegations of violation within the Complaint and referenced within

Section I.B herein, and this Stipulation shall not be interpreted as including such admission.

# D. Compliance Activities to Date

Upon being informed of the discharge of diammonious phosphate ("DAP") into the sanitary sewer at the Site, Respondent immediately ceased all maintenance and cleaning activities at the Site. Respondent has taken steps to ensure that no further discharges occur by sealing the drains inside of the warehouse at the Site involved in the release of DAP.

#### II. <u>APPLICABILITY</u>

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to the Stipulation. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation. This Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Sections 39 and 42 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39 and 42 (2012).

# III. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c) (2012), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

- 1. the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
- 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or

eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and

5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the Parties to the Stipulation state the following:

- 1. The environment was harmed by the Respondent's violations, which resulted in interference with the City of Rochelle's publically owned treatment works.
- 2. There is social and economic benefit to the Respondent's commercial construction activities.
- 3. The cleanup of the warehouse at the Site was and is suitable for the area in which the activities were performed.
- 4. It is technically practical and economically reasonable to prevent DAP from entering waters of the State.
  - 5. Respondent has subsequently complied with the Act and the Board regulations.

# IV. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2012), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;

- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency;
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform; and
- 8. whether the respondent has successfully completed a Compliance Commitment Agreement under subsection (a) of Section 31 of this Act to remedy the violations that are the subject of the complaint.

In response to these factors, the Parties to the Stipulation state as follows:

- 1. The Complainant contends that while performing cleanup work at the Site, DAP was released into the sanitary sewer from on or about April 20, 2012, through April 25, 2012, which interfered with the operation of the City of Rochelle's publically owned treatment works.
- 2. Respondent was diligent in attempting to come back into compliance with the Act and Board regulations once notified of its noncompliance.
- 3. Any economic benefit derived by Respondent from the violations will be recouped as part of the civil penalty to be paid in this matter.
- 4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter, that a penalty of \$6,000.00 will serve to deter further violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.
- 5. To Complainant's knowledge, Respondent has no previously adjudicated violations of the Act.
  - 6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter.
- 7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project.
  - 8. A Compliance Commitment Agreement was not at issue in this matter.

#### V. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

#### A. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of \$6,000.00 within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation.

#### B. Interest and Default

- 1. If the Respondent fails to make any payment required by this Stipulation on or before the date upon which the payment is due, the Respondent shall be in default and the remaining unpaid balance of the penalty, plus any accrued interest, shall be due and owing immediately. In the event of default, the Complainant shall be entitled to reasonable costs of collection, including reasonable attorney's fees.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, interest shall accrue on any penalty amount owed by the Respondent not paid within the time prescribed herein. Interest on unpaid penalties shall begin to accrue from the date such are due and continue to accrue to the date full payment is received. Where partial payment is made on any penalty amount that is due, such partial payment shall be first applied to any interest on unpaid penalties then owing.

#### C. Payment Procedures

1. All payments required by this Stipulation shall be made by certified check or money order payable to the Illinois EPA for deposit into the Environmental Protection Trust Fund ("EPTF"). Payments shall be sent by first class mail and delivered to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

- 2. The case name and case number shall appear on the face of the certified check or money order.
- 3. A copy of the certified check or money order and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Robert W. Petti Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau Illinois Attorney General's Office 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 1800 Chicago, Illinois 60602

# D. Future Compliance

- This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations, including but not limited to the Act and the Board Regulations.
- 2. The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint.

#### E. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the \$6,000.00 penalty and any accrued interest, its commitment to cease and desist as contained in Section V.D.2 above, and upon the Board's approval of this Stipulation, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for the violations of the Act and Board regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint filed on July 30, 2013. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

- a. criminal liability;
- b. liability for future violation of state, federal, local, and common laws and/or regulations;
- c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and
- d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

# F. Enforcement of Stipulation

Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.

#### G. Execution of Stipulation

The undersigned representatives for the Parties to the Stipulation certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

WHEREFORE, the Parties to the Stipulation request that the Board adopt and accept the

foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief

DATE:

LISA BONNETT, Director

Environmental Enforcement/	
Asbestos Litigation Division	
BY: Wallace ELIZABETH WALLACE, Chief Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau	BY: JOHN J. KIM Chief Legal Counsel
DATE: 8 18/14	DATE: 8(8(14
Bingham Commercial Construction, Inc.	
Ву:	
Its:	

WHEREFORE, the Parties to the Stipulation request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois	
MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division	LISA BONNETT, Director
BY:	BY:
ELIZABETH WALLACE, Chief Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau	JOHN J. KIM Chief Legal Counsel
DATE:	DATE:
Bingham Commercial Construction, Inc.	
By: \$5162	
Its: President	
DATE: 7-20-14	